

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.2230 of 2024
Date of Decision: 16.04.2024

Hardeep Singh
...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Sonam Aneja & Another
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court-II, Jalandhar, to conclude the proceedings in the Petition bearing **GW No.44 of 2021** titled as ‘**Hardeep Singh versus Sonam Aneja and another**’ and decide the same in a time-bound manner, while averring that the above-said Petition is pending for the last (03) years.

2. However, at the time of assisting the Court at the preliminary stage in the present revision-petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his prayer to the issuance of the direction to the Family Court to decide the afore-mentioned Petition at the earliest possible.
3. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and also the fact that the afore-referred

Petition is pending adjudication since March, 2021 and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the same, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned Family Court to conclude the proceedings and decide the Petition under reference, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

16.04.2024
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*