



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-18255-2024

Date of decision: 23.07.2024

Tajinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.0009 dated 11.03.2024 under Sections 489-A, 489-B, 489-C of the IPC (Section 120-B of the IPC added lateron) registered at Police Station Tarsikka, District Amritsar Rural.

2. On 16.04.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that a secret information was received by the police qua the co-accused who was allegedly indulging in counterfeit currency notes. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner, who has clean antecedents, was thereafter named in the disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused Nehchal Singh, who stated that he had supplied fake currency notes in the sum of Rs.2,80,000/- to the petitioner. ”



CRM-M-18255-2024

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 16.04.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Baghel Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation. On a pointed query put to the learned State counsel as to whether the petitioner is involved in any other criminal case, he, on instructions has replied in the negative and has also not disputed that the petitioner's name surfaced in a disclosure statement made by co-accused Nehchal Singh from whom the alleged recovery of fake currency notes was effected.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 16.04.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23.07.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No