

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(134)

CRWP-3277-2024
Date of Decision:-10.04.2024

Sunita
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Ms. Pinki Mehla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus and for appointment of a Warrant Officer to search the detainee namely Monu (husband of the petitioner) from the illegal custody of respondent No. 4.
2. After arguing vehemently on the merits of the case and not being able to demonstrate as to how the detainee is in illegal detention, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present case.
3. Since the ingredients of maintainability of habeas petition are not met, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

April 10, 2024
Parul