



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.18956 of 2024
Date of decision: 19th July, 2024

Abhishek Pal & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sansaniwal & Mr. Raman Sihag,
Advocates for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor UT Chandigarh
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Gursimran Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Gagandeep Sanwal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.40 dated 29.04.2023 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Chandigarh along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 03.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 19.04.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Chandigarh, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the



parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Chandigarh and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ‘**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**’ (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ‘**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 19, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No