



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

107-I

LPA-913-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.08.2024

State of Haryana and othersAppellants

Satya Pal ...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, Senior DAG, Haryana
for the appellants.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present intra Court appeal is directed against the order dated 12.10.2023 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court disposing of the respondent's petition in terms of the judgment of the Supreme Court passed in Civil Appeal No. 2471 of 2023 – ***The Director (Admn. And HR) KPTCL & others Vs. C.P. Mundinamani and others.***

2. The impugned order reads as under:-

*“Learned counsel for the parties concede the factum that the issue raised in the present petition is covered by the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No.2471 of 2023 titled as **The Director (Admn. And HR) KPTCL & others**, decided on 11.04.2023 and the present petition be also disposed of in the same terms and conditions.
Ordered accordingly.”*

3. A perusal of the afore quoted order reveals that the same was passed in the respondent's favour on the concession made by the learned State counsel. The said concession has not been withdrawn by the State



through filing of any application before the learned Single Judge. Even in the grounds of appeal, the concession, on the basis of which the respondent's petition was disposed of, has not been questioned.

4. Even otherwise another intra Court appeal being LPA-989-2024 – ***State of Haryana and others Vs. Ranvir Singh and another***, which had been filed by the State against the order which has been challenged through the instant appeal stands dismissed by a Co-ordinate Bench through the following order:-

“5. Consideration in the present appeal is to the judgment dated 12.10.2023 passed by the Learned Single Judge in CWP-12537-2023 titled Ranvir Singh & others Vs. State of Haryana & others.

6. We have already dismissed LPA-420-2024 titled Chairman, Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (HVPNL) & another Vs. Rajesh Kumar & others, arising out of common order dated 12.10.2023 passed in CWP-19142-2020 titled Rajesh Kumar & others Vs. State of Haryana & others by noting as under:

“1. Admittedly, the order dated 12.10.2023, impugned herein, passed by the learned Single Judge, is based on the concession given by the present appellants that the dispute was covered by the judgment of the Apex Court. Appellant No.1 herein, who was respondent No.2 in the writ petition before the learned Single Judge, was duly represented. In fact, appellant No.2 (respondent No.3 before the learned Single Judge), was also represented by the same counsel. In such circumstances, having conceded before the learned Single Judge, the appeal cannot be maintained.


2. Appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

3. Liberty is granted to the appellants to file review application, if permissible.

4. Accordingly, no order is required to be passed on the application (CM-994-LPA-2024) for condonation of delay in filing the appeal.”

7. We have been informed that thereafter also, review application bearing RA-CW-74-2024 in CWP-19142-2020 had also been dismissed on 06.03.2024 along with costs of Rs.10,000/-.

8. Keeping in view the above, we find no plausible reason to take a different view. Accordingly, the present appeal is also dismissed. All pending application(s) also stand disposed of.”



5. In the light of the above, we are of the opinion that the impugned order does not warrant any interference by us.
6. Dismissed.
7. All pending application(s) shall also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

08.08.2024

Sapna

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No