

2024:PHHC:037608

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

COCP-1129-2021 (O & M)
Date of decision: 14.03.2024

SUKHCHARAN KAUR

...Petitioner

Versus

VIPUL UJJWAL AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, DAG, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1.

This is a contempt petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 04.04.2012 passed in CWP-6065-2012.
2.

Counsel for the respondent has submitted and it is also so recorded in the reply filed by the respondents that in compliance of the order dated 04.04.2012 passed in CWP-6065-2012, the petitioner was permitted to continue to work on the post of CDPO, and had got the salary of the post of CDPO from where she retired on 30.11.2017.
3.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner to dispute this fact.
4.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
5.

However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left un-addressed, she would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

14.03.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No