

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

223 RSA-968-1998
Date of Decision:01.05.2024

JAI RAM RANGA ...APPELLANT

VERSUS

HARYANA STATE & ANR. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the appellant.
Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. As the counsel for the appellant has expired, this Court by order dated 20.01.2024 issued notice to the appellant, which has been received back unserved with the report “incomplete particulars”. As there is no representation on behalf of the appellant nor can he be found, this Court is left with no option except to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. Ordered accordingly.
5. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case, anything worthwhile survives.

01.05.2024 (SUVIR SEHGAL)
sheetal JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No