

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

CWP-8356-2024

Date of Decision : April 16, 2024

Naveen Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite terminating the services of the petitioner on 14.12.2023 (Annexure P-8), the petitioner is still being allowed to continue in service but his salary is not being paid to him, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that once the services of the petitioner were terminated in December 2023 but the respondent choose to take the services then the respondents are under an obligation to pay the petitioner as well hence, appropriate direction be issued to the respondents to pay the salary to the petitioner for the period the petitioner has performed the duties after passing of the order dated 14.12.2023 (Annexure P-8).

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that nothing has come on record to show that the petitioner is working with the respondents but in case the petitioner claims that he is working and he has not been paid the salary, he can approach the appropriate authority by filing appropriate representation and in case any such claim is raised by the petitioner by way of representation, the same will be decided within a period of eight weeks thereafter and in case the claim of the petitioner is found feasible to be accepted, the same will be accepted otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the prayer of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation.

7. Ordered accordingly.

April 16, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No