

122

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-8120-2024
Date of decision: 10.04.2024

Narinder Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

UT Chandigarh and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Nanvi Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Amit Jhanji, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Sukhmani Patwalia, Advocate for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take immediate action upon the representation dated 28.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) duly received in the office of respondent No.2 on 28.03.2024 vide which the petitioner has requested to allot him House No.3774, Sector 22-D Chandigarh instead of House No.3940, Sector 22-D Chandigarh since the allotted house i.e. House No.3940, Sector 22-D, Chandigarh is in complete dilapidated condition.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 28.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.2- House Allotment Committee takes a decision on the same in a time bound

manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law. It is further submitted that since the possession of House No.3940, Sector 22-D Chandigarh which has been allotted to the petitioner, was to be taken within a period of 14 days and the said period is elapsing today thus, till the time the decision is taken on the said representation, the allotment of House No.3940, Sector 22-D Chandigarh be not cancelled.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and limited prayer made by the petitioner, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.2-House Allotment Committee to consider representation dated 28.03.2024 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law, within a period of one month from today and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of one month from today. Status quo with regard to House No.3940, Sector 22-D Chandigarh be maintained till the time the decision on the abovesaid representation is taken.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.2-House Allotment Committee would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

10.04.2024*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)**
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No**
Whether reportable:- Yes/No