

SEEMA

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if necessary direction is given to respondent No.3 to consider and decide representation dated 12.02.2024 (Annexure P-11) submitted by the petitioner in a time bound manner and necessary protection is provided to her, in accordance with law.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and submits that in case any such representation will be pending the same will be decided by the competent authority as per law in a time bound manner and protection will be provided to the petitioner, if situation so warrants.

4. In light of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.3 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar to look into the matter and decide representation dated 12.02.2024 (Annexure P-11),

in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, any threat perception is found then to provide necessary protection to the petitioner, in accordance with law, so that respondents No.5 to 7 could not cause any harm to the petitioner.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

10.04.2024
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No