

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-18023-2024  
Date of decision: April 19, 2024

VIKRAM SINGH AND ANOTHER  
...Petitioners  
Versus  
STATE OF HARYANA  
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

| FIR No. | Dated      | Police Station | Section/s                |
|---------|------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 785     | 13.12.2023 | Mujesar        | 379, 420, 201 and 34 IPC |

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners seek grant of regular bail in respect of aforementioned FIR.
2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Kishan Singh, who had alleged that on 11.12.2023, he had parked his motor-cycle in the vegetable market so as to purchase vegetables, but upon his return, he found that his motor-cycle was not there and had been stolen. It is further the case of prosecution that during the course of routine checking by way of laying barricades, the police apprehended both the petitioners while they were riding a motor-cycle without any number plate. Upon probing into the matter, it surfaced that the motor-cycle in possession of the petitioners was the one which belonged to the complainant.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they have falsely been implicated in the present case and that in any case, since the investigation

already stands concluded, the petitioners, who otherwise have a clean record, deserve the concession of bail.

4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel submitted that since both the petitioners were found riding the stolen motor-cycle, their complicity is clearly evident. Learned State counsel has, however, informed that the petitioners as on date have been behind bars since the last about 3 months & 29 days and that they are not involved in any other case. It has also been informed that charges are yet to be framed and none out of the 9 prosecution witness cited by the prosecution has been examined till date.

5. This Court has considered rival submissions.

6. Without commenting anything as regards the merits of the case, but having regard to the custody of the petitioners and the fact that the trial has not even commenced till date, further detention of the petitioners will not serve any useful purpose as the conclusion of trial will take some time. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

April 19, 2024  
Jaspreet Kaur

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No