



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.18297 of 2023
Date of decision: 20th August, 2024

Suresh Kumar Chauhan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep S. Chhina, Asst. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking transfer of investigation of FIR No.299 dated 22.07.2020 under Sections 186, 353, 506 of the IPC and Section 3(1)(m) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 registered at Police Station Civil Line Jind, District Jind.

2. Vide order dated 18.04.2023, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner is seeking transfer of investigation of FIR No.299 dated 22.07.2020 under Sections 186, 353 and 506 of the IPC and Section 3(1)(m) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (for short, 'the SC/ST Act') registered at Police Station Civil Line, Jind, District Jind to some higher police officer/independent agency as despite the FIR in



question having been registered almost 03 years back, the investigating agency has been sitting over the matter and has not taken any steps to either arrest the accused or file final report under Section 173 of the Cr.P.C. Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Rahul Mohan, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and seeks time to file reply/status report.

Adjourned to 22.05.2023.”

3. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit of Rohtash Singh, DSP Jind – Law & Order, District Jind today in the Court, which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. While drawing the attention of this Court to the status report, he has submitted that challan already stands presented in the present case and the trial is underway before the trial Court.

4. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the status report filed on behalf of the State, no further order is required to be passed in the present petition, which accordingly stands dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 20, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No