

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

2024:PHHC:007862
CWP No.9684 of 2022(O&M)
Date of Decision:20.01.2024

Yogesh Kumar

....Petitioner

vs.

The Canara Bank and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Harmeet Kaur Chanan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Rahish Pahwa, Advocate
for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to consider him for the post of part time Sweeper.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent-bank in the year 2014 availed services of the petitioner as part time Sweeper. Services of the petitioner were terminated during pendency of the present writ petition as there was no stay. The petitioner as on date is not working with the respondent-bank.

3. This Court, vide judgment dated 30.11.2023 while deciding **CWP No. 18839 of 2023 titled as 'Sanjay Kumar vs. Punjab National Bank and others'** alongwith a bunch of writ petitions, involving the similar issue, after considering catena of judgments of the Supreme Court, has

dismissed the writ petitions holding that petitioners have no vested or fundamental right of appointment or continuation.

4. Counsel for the petitioner expressed her inability to controvert afore-stated factual and legal position.

5. Dismissed in terms of order dated 30.11.2023 passed in **CWP No. 18839 of 2023 titled as ‘Sanjay Kumar vs. Punjab National Bank and others’**

6. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.01.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No