

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204 RSA-3848-1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.03.2024

SUKHDEV SINGH @ RANJODH SINGH ... Appellant
VERSUS

SAT PALRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate with
Mr. Saksham Khunger, Advocate
for the respondent.

RITU TAGORE, J.(Oral)

1. As per report of the Registry, notice issued to the sole appellant has been received back unserved with the report of incomplete address. It is further reported that name of learned counsel for the appellant has not been found in the directory.
2. On call, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.
3. In view of the above situation, the address of the counsel who had filed the appeal on behalf of the appellant and whereabouts of the appellant are not available. In these circumstances, it is not desirable to keep this RSA for indefinite period, hence, same is consigned to the record room with remark “dismissed for non-prosecution”.
4. However, liberty is given to move an appropriate application if anyone is aggrieved with the order.

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

13.03.2024
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No