

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8173 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:10.04.2024

Arun Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Nain, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to approach higher authorities-respondent No.2 as, similar show cause notice(s) issued to other driver(s) have already been withdrawn, whereas, the services of the petitioner has been terminated, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of, as having been not pressed any further with liberty to approach the higher authorities i.e. respondent No.2 for redressal of his grievance. Learned senior counsel further submits that the higher authorities be directed to decided the said representation in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice especially, when he is working for the last 06 years.

2. Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation/appeal is received from the petitioner by respondent No.2, the same will be decided within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted,

otherwise, due reasons will be given for not accepting the claim while passing the speaking order.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of, as having been not pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

10th April, 2024

Sonia Puri

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/ No.