



CWP-9024-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-9024-2024

Date of Decision: 14.05.2024

Abhishek Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Gurnoor Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to extend him benefits of Assured Career Progression Scheme (for short '**ACP Scheme**').

2. Mr. Gurnoor Singh Sandhu, Advocate submits that on completion of four years service as Sub-Inspector, the petitioner was entitled to benefits of ACP Scheme. He has not been extended benefits of ACP Scheme without any rhyme and reason.

3. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab submits that on account of non-preparation of ACR of one particular year, the petitioner was not extended benefits of ACP Scheme. He has filed representation dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) and the same is under consideration. The



competent authority would pass an appropriate order after considering factual position and mandate of ACP Scheme.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stand disposed of with a direction to respondents to decide representation dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner within three months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

14.05.2024
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>