



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

293

CRM-M-18381-2024

Date of decision: May 14th, 2024

Sourabh Aneja and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.D. Anand, Additional Public Prosecutor,
U.T. Chandigarh.

None for respondents No.2 to 4.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.24 dated 30.03.2024 under Sections 323/325/341/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station North, District Chandigarh, and all the subsequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 02.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 16.04.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between

the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid **FIR** and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

May 14th, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No