

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

116

CWP No.8545 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.04.2024

Jatinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the entire pending retiral benefits of Rs.12,11,974/- of the petitioner including leave encashment and gratuity along with interest @ 18% per annu.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, confines his prayer for deciding legal notice dated 10.03.2024 (Annexure P-2). He submits that at this stage, petitioner would be satisfied, if the said legal notice is decided by passing a speaking order within a time bound frame.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1. On the asking of the Court Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate, who is on the panel of Department of Local Government, Punjab, has accepted notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and have no

2024:PHHC:052407

objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the claim made by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 10.03.2024 (Annexure P-2), in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order, within a period of 03 months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

19.04.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No