

2024:PHHC:027517
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18502-2023
Date of Decision:27.02.2024

Rajwant Singh ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab ...Respondent

Coram : **Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Tushar Wadhwa, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Ms.Amrita Garg, Asst. A.G, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail to him in case FIR No. 167 dated 26.06.2022 registered under Section 22/61/85 of the NDPS Act, Police Station Patran, District Patiala.
2. According to the prosecution story, the petitioner was found in conscious possession of 102 grams of tablets, without any permit or licence.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The quantity of contraband recovered from the petitioner is marginally above the ‘commercial quantity’. No independent witness was joined by the police party. Petitioner is not involved in any other case. He is in custody since 26.06.2022. Trial is likely to take long time. No useful purpose will be served by further detention of the petitioner in custody. Thus, it is prayed that he may be released on regular bail. In support of his contentions, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon

judgments in (i) CRM-M-37684-2021, *Balwinder Singh vs. State of Punjab, decided on 14.02.2022*; (ii) CRM-M-8212-2022, *Tajinder Singh vs. State of Punjab, decided on 03.03.2022* and (iii) CRM-M-35186-2016, *Manjit Kaur @ Jeeto vs. State of Punjab, decided on 01.12.2016*.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner. However, he fairly conceded the fact that petitioner is not involved in any other case.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, custody period of the petitioner and also the fact that the quantity of alleged contraband is marginally above the ‘commercial quantity’, but without commenting on merits of the case, I am of the considered view that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail.

6. Therefore, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

27.02.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No