

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117 CWP-9666-2024 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 07.05.2024

Nihal SinghPetitioner
Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Ms. Farheen Bajwa, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Chaman Lal Premy, Advocate
for respondent No.4 – G.P.

Sureshwar Thakur, J. (Oral)

- Through the instant writ petition, the petitioner asked for a mandamus being asked upon the respondent concerned, to enforce the order dated 11.02.2019 (Annexure P/4), the order dated 22.11.2021 (Annexure P/5) and the order dated 01.02.2022 (Annexure P/6). Through the said made orders, the Consolidation Officer concerned, has ordered for corrections being respectively made in the field book and in the *masavi*. Through Annexure P/4, he ordered for corresponding corrections being also carried out in the *missal haqikat* relating to the disputed khasra number concerned.
- The above made mandamus could be asked for from this Court, but only when the said orders acquired binding and conclusive effect.

However, today the learned counsel for the respondent stated at the bar that, he is very shortly instituting an appeal against the said directions, whereby the said orders when do not acquire binding and conclusive effect, thereby the asked for mandamus for theirs being directed to be implemented and executed by the respondent concerned, rather cannot be passed. In consequence, the writ petition, at this stage, is not maintainable and is disposed of as such.

3. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

07.05.2024
Varinder Prashad

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No