



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

633

CWP-13965 of 2005
Date of Decision:13.09.2024

Umesh Kumar

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for grant of compassionate appointment to the petitioner.
2. Learned State counsel makes a reference to the fact that it was in view of the age of the father of the petitioner being 56 years and 10 months when he passed away on 13.08.2002, that as per the Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rules, 2003, no benefit of compassionate appointment could have been given to the petitioner however, in view of the amended Rules 2004, the petitioner/dependent of deceased was offered financial assistance of Rs.2,50,000/-, Annexure R-3, but the same at the time of filing of the reply on 28.12.2005, was not opted.

3. He, on instructions, further submits that in case the petitioner even now submits a representation for the aforesaid amount, the same shall be considered.
4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents that in case the petitioner submits a representation for the aforesaid amount and if found entitled for the same, it shall be released to him, in accordance with law, within a period of two months, thereafter.
5. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case need arises.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

September 13, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No