

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8224-2024
Date of Decision: 16.04.2024

Balbir

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Vikas S. Chawra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the suspension letter dated 26.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) and the letter dated 26.03.2024 (Annexure P-2) issued by respondent No.2 to the DSP to lodge an FIR against the petitioner, since no opportunity has been given to the petitioner to explain his part to the impugned show-cause notice, the same needs to be set aside/quashed.

2. On the last date of hearing, this Court had directed the learned Addl. A.G., Haryana to seek instructions in the present case.

3. Today, Mr. Samarth Sagar, learned Addl. A.G., Haryana submitted that so far as the issue pertaining to the letter written to the police authorities to lodge an FIR against the petitioner without seeking his explanation is concerned, the same has been rectified and now rather another

letter has been written on 15.04.2024 by the Executive Engineer to the concerned police authorities not to take any police action against the petitioner because explanation should be first sought from the petitioner and therefore, so far as this issue is concerned, the same does not survive. He submitted that so far as the other issues pertaining to the wrong mention of the date of birth and suspension are concerned, the petitioner can give his reply and approach the concerned authorities for redressal of his grievances.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that so far as the issue pertaining to the direction issued by the Nigam to the police is concerned, the same has now become infructuous in view of the statement made by the learned State Counsel and so far as the remaining reliefs are concerned, the petitioner will approach the concerned authorities for redressal of his grievances in accordance with law and therefore, the present petition may be disposed of accordingly.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

16.04.2024
Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |