

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8214-2024

Date of decision: 15.04.2024

Ramesh Chander

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH**

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was awarded a transportation contract under the Punjab Food Grains Transportation Policy, 2023, for Ghanour and Kapuri, (Patiala) clusters, for the year 2023-2024, on 13.04.2023 (P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the limited grievance that the petitioner has is: vide impugned communication dated 13.03.2024 (P-2), the respondent authorities have unilaterally extended the period of contract, which expired on 31.03.2024, till 30.06.2024.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Shekhar Verma, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, is present in Court. At the outset, he, on instructions from Prateek Bhanot, Inspector, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, submits that the extension of contract, as envisaged in the impugned communication (*ibid*), is not being insisted upon. For, the contract, as regards the extended period, has already been assigned to one Roshal Lal (L2). And, in the wake of the changed circumstances, no coercive measures shall be taken against the

petitioner. Therefore, he submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, as such.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

15.04.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No