



and files his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 22.05.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that as per the settlement between the parties, the petitioner is required to withdraw one criminal complaint pending before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra and he has not withdrawn the same till date.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner undertakes to withdraw the complaint pending before the learned jurisdictional Magistrate at Kurukshetra within a period of two weeks.

6. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition

is allowed and FIR No.195 dated 19.03.2022 under Sections 323, 341, 498-A,



506 of IPC (Sections 420 & 406 of IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner. However, in case, the petitioner fails to act upon his undertaking and refuses to abide by the terms and conditions of the settlement, the respondent No.2 would be at liberty to file appropriate application for seeking revival of the FIR.

May 22, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |