



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

283

CRM-M-17877-2024
Date of Decision:27.05.2024

KULWINDER KAUR

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Binay Pal Singh Brar, Advocate for
Mr.J.S. Ghumman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Mahesh Kumar.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

Status report by way of affidavit of Shri Sumit Sood, PPS,
Deputy Superintendent of Police, Adampur, District Jalandhar (Rural) has
been filed on behalf of the respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

On 10.04.2024, following order was passed:

*“By way of present petition filed under Section 438
Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR
No.22 dated 20.03.2024 registered under Section 306 IPC at Police
Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar Rural.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the
petitioner is the wife of deceased - Pardeep Singh with whom she was
married about 24 years ago. Due to the matrimonial differences,
petitioner was residing separately from the deceased- husband –
Pardeep Singh for the last 12 years. Learned counsel further contends
that no specific allegation whatsoever has been made against the
petitioner as to how she is responsible for committing of the suicide by
the deceased- Pardeep Singh in the absence of any allegation of
abetment of suicide.*



Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State.

Copy of suicide note has been placed on record by learned State Counsel, which is in Punjabi Script. Copy thereof was supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who then placed on record the English translation of the suicide note.

It is conceded by learned State Counsel that there is no specific allegation in the suicide note with regard to any abetment to commit suicide, except for blaming the petitioner.

It is also not disputed by learned State Counsel that the petitioner was residing separately from her deceased- husband for the last 12 years.

Adjourned to 27.05.2024.

Let detailed status report be filed on behalf of the respondent- State on or before the date fixed.

In the meantime, in case of her arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. She shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade her from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. She shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mahesh Kumar submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated



10.04.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

27.05.2024

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

pry	Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	Yes/No