

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-7280-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.04.2024

Anil and another
Vs.
Union Territory Chandigarh and others

. . . . Petitioners
. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate
for the respondents.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. No one has appeared for the petitioners.
2. Counsel for the respondents/U.T. states that the issue relates to the excise policy of the U.T., Chandigarh for the year 2023-24 which has now elapsed by efflux of time. In view thereof, the issue relating to the said liquor policy has become otiose and does not call for decision.
3. We have considered the submissions, and found that the challenge is to operating of Vend No.83 by respondent No.4 from Shop No.145/5, 145/6 of village Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh being near to residential dwelling units alleging it to be in contravention of the excise policy of U.T. Chandigarh for 2023-24.
4. Since the excise policy itself has elapsed and the liquor vends are freshly auctioned in the new policy, the issue has become academic and does not warrant any further adjudication.

- 5. Writ Petition stands *dismissed* accordingly.
- 6. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

April 30, 2024
Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |