



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-3289-1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-22.05.2024

TEK SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

NAND KAUR AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present:- Ms. Navreet Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the appellant.

RITU TAGORE, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that counsel, who filed the present appeal was elevated to Bench and has since retired. She is not in contact with the appellant nor appellant has contacted her for a long.
2. As per office report, sole appellant and respondent No.1 have passed away. The notice sent to respondent No.2 received back unserved with the report that guardian-Nana Kaur of respondent No.2, has also expired. Further, none of the next kin (LRs) came forward to represent the deceased appellant.
3. In view of the above and given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Consequently, this appeal stands dismissed for want of



prosecution, with liberty to the learned counsel for the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order.

4. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

22.05.2024
Gaurav Sorot

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No