



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

257

CRM-M-17968-2023
Date of decision:28.10.2024

SATNAM SINGH AND OTHERS ..PETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. G.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the respondents No.2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR , J (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.142 dated 27.5.2020 registered under Sections 365, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar City, Punjab and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-2).
2. The following order was passed on 13.4.2024:-

“The petitioners have filed the present petition seeking quashing of FIR No.142 dated 27.05.2020 under Sections 365, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar City, Punjab (Annexure P-1), and all other



subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate accepts notice and filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3, which is taken on record and admits the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

Adjourned to 13.09.2023.

In the meanwhile, both the parties are directed to appear before the concerned Illaqa/Duty Magistrate on 15.05.2023 for recording their statements, who shall record their respective statements with regard to the genuineness/correctness of the compromise and that the compromise is not the result of any fraud or misrepresentation and is the result of free will of the parties. It would also be verified that besides the accused (petitioners) mentioned in the petition, there is no other accused in the FIR and the parties are not involved or declared proclaimed offender in any other criminal case.

The Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate shall send his/her report through learned Sessions Judge concerned on or before the date fixed before this Court.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834**



and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others**
(1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh**
Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Cr1.) 1052, this petition is
allowed and FIR No.142 dated 27.5.2020 registered under Sections
365, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station
Civil Lines, Amritsar City, Punjab and all subsequent proceedings
arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

28.10.2024
joyti

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No