

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CWP-8629-2020
Date of Decision: 06.02.2024

Balbir Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Amit Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel,
for Union of India-respondents
Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking directions to the respondents to re-issue him passport.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent-Union of India submits that petitioner was convicted vide judgment dated 14.03.2018 and he was awarded sentence of two years. The petitioner filed application seeking passport on 06.01.2020. The application was filed within 5 years from the date of conviction, thus, it was liable to be rejected in terms of Section 6(2) of Passport Act, 1967.

3. Learned State counsel submits that six FIRs and one DDR were registered against the petitioner. The petitioner was convicted in one FIR; three FIRs and one DDR are under investigation; in one FIR cancellation report has been prepared and in one FIR final report has been prepared and the same is under scrutiny.
4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition and seeks liberty to avail remedy as permissible by law.
5. Disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.02.2024
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>