

**CWP-8654-2020 (O&M)****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(327)****CWP-8654-2020 (O&M)****Date of Decision : December 10, 2024****Harpreet Singh and others****.. Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI****Present:** Mr. Inayat Khullar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the petitioners have not been given the promotion as a Head Teacher despite the fact that employees junior to the petitioners working in the feeder cadre of ETT Teachers, have already been granted promotion.

2. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners has pointed out the name of one Jaswinder Singh, who was appointed as ETT Teacher on 27.03.1988 and had been promoted as Head Teacher on 18.07.2001.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, on the other hand, submits that no one junior to the petitioner has been granted promotion as Head



Teacher and the claim of the petitioner qua Jaswinder Singh is not maintainable as Jaswinder Singh was granted promotion under the reserved category of physically handicapped whereas, the petitioners belong to the general category and therefore, there can be no comparison between the petitioners and Jaswinder Singh.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has instructions that there are other employees also, who were junior to the petitioners as ETT Teachers but have been promoted. He seeks liberty to file a representation with the respondents giving the details of the juniors, who have been promoted and are working as Head Teachers in preference to the petitioners.

5. Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation/claim is received at the hands of the petitioners, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of any such representation/claim by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioners, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation with the respondents.

7. Ordered accordingly.



CWP-8654-2020 (O&M)

3

8. Civil miscellaneous application pending if any, also stands disposed of.

December 10, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No