



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

226-2

RSA-586-2000 (O&M)
Date of decision : 28.05.2024

Davinder Kumar ... Appellant(s)
Versus
Neelam Rani ...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : None for the appellant.
Ms. Richa Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

1. Earlier counsel representing the appellant was elevated to the Bench. Hence, notice was issued to the appellant.
2. As per the service report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved with the report that he has left the given address. There is no other address available with the Court for effecting service of the appellant except the one mentioned in the memo of parties and in the judgments and decrees passed by the Trial Court and the First Appellate Court and is the same on which the notice was sent. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present appeal.
3. In view thereof, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for non-prosecution.
4. Dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to revive in case anything survives in the matter. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

28.05.2024
Ankur

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO