

125

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-7997-2024
Date of decision: 08.04.2024

Subhash Chander and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate and
Mr. Vivek Daryal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents for fixation of seniority and further promotion to the rank of SI on the basis of Lower School Course (LSC) in terms of ending 06/04.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition, the petitioners had given representations and the Additional Director General of Police, Ambala Range, Ambala Cantt vide letter dated 08.11.2018 (Annexure P-1), had forwarded the same to the Director General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula and in the said letter, it has specifically been stated that

the representations of the petitioners were being forwarded on similar grounds as pleas of the other representationist, which are found to be correct. It is submitted that thereafter, vide letter dated 03.10.2023 (Annexure P-3) issued by the Superintendent of Police, Rewari to the Inspector General of Police, South Range, Rewari, a reminder has also been sent but till date, no final action has been taken by the State and it is submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the final decision on the representations which have been duly forwarded on 08.11.2018 and 03.10.2023 be taken by the competent authority of respondent No.1-State in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would take a final decision on the abovesaid aspects in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-State to take a final decision on the abovesaid aspects, in accordance with law, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the

petitioners are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the case of the petitioners independently, in accordance with law.

08.04.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No