

2024:PHHC:032593
208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-2728-1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.03.2024

HARNAM SINGH ... APPELLANT
VS.
MOHINDER SINGH & ORS .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present : Ms. Tanya Sehgal, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr.N.S.Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

RITU TAGORE , J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that several efforts have been made to contact the appellant but he could not be contacted.
2. As per the office report, the appellant is not residing at the given address.
3. This Court is not having any other address of the appellant. In these circumstances, there is no justification to keep the appeal pending. The same is dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the same, if aggrieved by this order.

06.03.2024 (RITU TAGORE)
smriti JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No