

RSA-2710-1998

-1-

262

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-2710-1998
Date of decision:-07.02.2024

The State of Punjab and another

...Appellants

Versus

Karam Singh, Head Constable

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr.Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab
for the appellants.

None for respondent.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. State – defendant is in second appeal before this Court challenging the judgment and decree passed by the First Appellate Court.

2. State counsel has placed on record a xerox copy of order dated 01.08.2002, which reads as under:

ORDER

“In the compliance of the judgment/decreedated 10.02.1998 passed by the Addl. District Judge, Patiala, the Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh vide his TPM No.5082/LA-6, dated 02.06.2000 and memo No.9995/LA-6 dt. 18.12.2000 had asked

this office to implement the judgment subject to the final decision of Regular Second Appeal No.2710 of 1998. In view of the instruction of DGP Pb. Issued vide memo referred to above, the order of one year's forfeiture of approved service in r/o HC. Karam Singh No.565/GRP has already been withdrawn vide No.1172-78/DDA (L) dated 12.10.2001 and 10845-49/DDA (L) dt.04.04.2001. Besides, the monetary benefits have also been given to the decree holder. Whereas his seniority has been fixed vide this office order No.6552-60/A-1 dt.01.03.2002 to his immediate junior i.e. HC Amarjeet Singh No.116/GRP who stands promoted as ASI w.e.f. 03.05.2001 on his allotment to Border Range.

Due to centralization of seniority of NGOs at C.P.O. level, the case of HC Karam Singh 565/GRP for promotion as ASI from the date when his next junior was so promoted, was sent to DGP/Punjab vide this office memo No.9386/A-I dt.26.03.2002.

Accordingly, in view of the guidelines given by the CPO vide No.12833/E-8 dt.10.05.2002, HC Karam Singh NO.565/GRP is promoted as Offg. ASI w.e.f. 03.05.2001 when his immediate junior HC Amarjit Singh No.116/GRP was so promoted. As such, his name falls above HC Amarjit Singh NO.116/GRP.

This order is further subject to the final decision of Hon'ble High Court in CWP No.7054/2001 or any other writ(s) pending in the Hon'ble High Court in this regard. His promotion is also subject to the final decision of CWP No.8264/2000 filed by him in the Hon'ble High Court against his reversion order.

Order be booked and all concerned be informed accordingly."

-Sd/-

**Superintendent of Police-cum-
Assistant Inspector General,
G.R.P. Punjab, Patiala**

3. State counsel submits that in view of the subsequent order

RSA-2710-1998

262

passed by the authorities, the regular second appeal has become infructuous.

4. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

07.02.2024

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No