

124

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-7991-2024
Date of decision: 08.04.2024

Local Bus Operator Union Ludhiana

...Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Officer, and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. P.S. Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Walia, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.1 to immediately check the illegal operation of Mini Buses on Ludhiana-Kohara route.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 05.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, respondent No.1 is directed to consider the said representation dated 05.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner and after hearing all the parties concerned and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary

relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that respondent No.1 would consider the said representation dated 05.03.2024 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.1 to consider representation dated 05.03.2024 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and after hearing all the parties concerned, in accordance with law and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, respondent No.1 would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, respondent No.1 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.1 would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

08.04.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No