

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRWP-3139-2024
Date of Decision: 9.4.2024

Suresh Kumar and another
....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others
....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. A.S.Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 is aged about 36 years and petitioner No.2, a girl is more than 18 years of age. Both of them are unmarried and are into live-in relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 who are extending threats to the petitioners and in this regard, representation dated 4.4.2024 (Annexure P-3) was given to respondent No.2 but the police authorities failed to take any action. Counsel for the petitioners further submitted that he will be satisfied if necessary action is taken by the authorities on the basis of the aforesaid representation.

2. Mr. Vivek Singla, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh appearing for the official respondents submits that in case any such representation is pending, the concerned authority will look into the matter and take action in accordance with law.

3. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh to consider representation dated 4.4.2024

(Annexure P-3) and to take action if so warranted in accordance with law in

case there is any threat perception to the petitioners.

4. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 6 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

April 9, 2024
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No