



Sharma, to the house of the complainant and thereafter, lured the latter into investing money in the sum of approximately Rs.58.00 lacs on the promise that it would fetch them huge profits. On a pointed query put to the learned counsel as to whether any amount stood deposited by the complainant into the bank account of the petitioner, he has replied in the negative; it has also been submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedents and is not involved in any other criminal case.”

- 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 08.04.2024. the petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.
- 4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has not disputed the submission made by the counsel opposite.

On further query put to the State counsel, it has not been disputed that no sum of money was deposited in the bank account of the petitioner; still further, the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case much less a case of similar nature. However, State counsel, on instructions, has submitted that a sum of Rs.4,80,000/- was allegedly given in cash to the petitioner, which has not yet been recovered.

- 4. In view of the above, present petition is allowed and interim order dated 08.04.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438(2) Cr.PC.

15.07.2024
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No

2024:PHHC:087712



CRM-M-17235-2024

-3-