



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

293

CRM-M-17783-2024

Date of decision: August 8th, 2024

Rajinder Singh @ Rajender Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Ishan Khetarpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 10.04.2024 and thereafter, again vide order dated 09.05.2024, the parties had been directed to get their respective statements recorded with respect to the compromise purportedly affected between them.

2. As per report received from learned JMIC, Ambala, none appeared on behalf of the complainant to get their statement recorded with respect to the compromise stated to have been affected between the parties.

3. Mr. A.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate, has entered appearance on behalf of the private respondents and filed his power of attorney after obtaining NOC from the previous counsel, which is taken on record. He has submitted that there is no compromise in existence between the parties and that is the reason why the complainant/private respondents did not appear before the learned JMIC to record their statements.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners, in the wake of the submissions made by the complainant/private respondents, does not press the instant petition.
5. Dismissed as not pressed.

August 8th, 2024

Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No