



226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18892-2024

Date of decision: 20.05.2024

SAURAV DHINGRA

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rohit Duggal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.175 dated 10.07.2021 under Sections 498-A/406 of IPC registered at Police Station City Phagwara (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 17.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Mr. Rohit Duggal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed his *vakalatnama* which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

3. The following order was passed on 19.04.2024 :-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.175 dated 10.07.2021 under Sections 498-A/406 of IPC registered at Police Station City Phagwara (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 17.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 20.05.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab. accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Copy of the paper book be supplied to him during the



course of day. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to secure the presence of respondent No.2 before the learned trial Court at the time of recording the statement.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 20.05.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

4. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.175 dated 10.07.2021 under Sections 498-A/406 of IPC registered at Police Station City Phagwara (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

May 20, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- (i)

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No
- (ii)

Whether reportable

Yes/No