

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8148-2024

Date of decision: 10.04.2024

Kanso @ Kans Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the impugned order/Endst. No.3821-25 dated 27.10.2023 (Annexure P-11) passed by learned Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur vide which order the case of the petitioner for allotment of the property i.e. 5 marla plot as were the subject matter of the resolution (Annexure P-1) passed by Gram Panchayat in the year 2016 and despite the names of the petitioners figuring in the list of beneficiaries as prepared by the authorities; actually the possession of the aforesaid plots were never given to the petitioners since other beneficiaries were issued the possession as well as sanads of the concerned properties as issued in their name however, the respondent No.6, Sarpanch using fraudulent and illegal means despite the said allotment had committed serious illegalities in her resign as Sarpanch and petitioners being widows who are entitled to their respective rights as per the government rules/regulation are being rendered injustice by the department and respondent No.2 without appreciating all these issues have passed an erroneous and illegal order firstly questioning the entitlement and thereafter alleging that the properties have been sold by petitioners in favour of third person which order in the fact of it is illegal, void and without jurisdiction and further directing the

respondents to allot the plots in their favour while taking appropriate action at the earliest and further takes stringent measures while taking appropriate action against the guilty officials.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioners to avail alternative remedy as available to them under law.

Allowed as prayed for.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

10.04.2024
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No