

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.3126 of 2024

Date of decision: 8th April, 2024

Tamanna Paul & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Kapish Chawla, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioners, who are residing at the address of petitioner No.2 as mentioned in the memo of parties, are apprehending threats at the hands of private respondents, who are parents of both the petitioners. He submits that ever since the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of the private respondents, they are being continuously threatened with dire consequences. It has been further submitted that representation (Annexure P-5) dated 02.04.2024 was also made to respondent No.3 –

Commissioner of Police, District Panchkula (Haryana), however, it had proved to be in vain.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents No.1 and 3.

4. At the asking of Court, Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents.

5. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, since admittedly the petitioners are residing at Canal Colony, Rupnagar, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to move an application/representation to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District Rupnagar, who shall look into such representation and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage alleged to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 8, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No