

123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7890 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:08.04.2024**

Pooja Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

1. Present petition has been filed for quashing the result against advertisement dated 20.03.2023 (Annexure P-1) to the extent that petitioner has not been selected in the final result despite securing higher marks than the last selected candidate in the category in which the petitioner is competing.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the answer key, which has been issued by the respondents and the answers given by the petitioner while appearing in the written examination, the petitioner should have secured more marks and should have been within the zone of consideration for appointment to the post in question, however, candidates lower in merit than the petitioner have been selected, which has caused prejudice to the petitioner, hence, the respondents are under obligation to verify as to whether, the petitioner has been given correct marks keeping in view the answers given while attempting the written examination.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner has already filed representation dated 09.02.2024 (Annexure P7) and the same will be decided in accordance with law within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to grant relief as being claimed in the legal notice, the same will be accepted, otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim while passing the speaking order, for information to the petitioner.
7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives and the present petition may kindly be disposed of, having been not pressed any further.
8. Ordered accordingly.

8th April, 2024
Sonia Puri

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/ No.