



CWP-9206-2022 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

258

CWP-9206-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision :26.09.2024

Gagandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab
assisted by Dr. Paramdeep Singh Walia, Joint Director.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, prayer of the petitioner is for quashing of order dated 11.10.2021 (Annexure P/4) passed by the respondents by which, the claim of the petitioner for the grant of benefit of compassionate appointed has been rejected by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that certain documents are required to be presented by the petitioner but she is not cooperating and submitting those documents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the description of the documents be given to him for its onward transmission to the petitioner and all the required documents will be submitted by the petitioner within a period of 04 weeks from today and the respondents be directed to

**CWP-9206-2022 (O&M)****-2-**

assess the claim of the petitioner accordingly.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that within a period of 08 weeks of submission of the said documents, an appropriate decision will be taken on the claim of the petitioner for the grant of compassionate appointment and in case, on the basis of the documents so submitted by the petitioner, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the said benefit, the same will be extended to her otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which order will be conveyed to the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to challenge the order so passed by the respondents in case, the same causes prejudice to the petitioner.

Ordered accordingly.

Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

September 26, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No