

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**132**

**CWP-7827-2024  
Date of Decision: 08.04.2024**

**SANTOSH**

... Petitioner

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

**\*\*\*\***

Present:     None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

**\*\*\*\***

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

Challenge in the present petition is to the order bearing memo No.1514 dated 04.03.2024 (Annexure P-11), whereby the claim of the petitioner for grant of compensation of Rs.5,00,000/- on account of death of Ravi (petitioner's son) under the scheme of Mukhya Mantri Kisan Evem Khetihar Mazdoor Jiwan Suraksha Yojna, 2013 has been declined.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 points out that the order in question has been passed by Secretary-cum-Executive Officer, Market Committee, Thanesar, which is an appealable order and appeal against the same may be filed before respondent No.2-Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board, Sector-6, Panchkula.

Despite the case having been called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner today.

Since an alternative remedy is available to the petitioner and noticing that the paragraph No.16 of the petition is factually and legally incorrect, the present petition is disposed of at this stage without commenting anything on the merits of the present case granting liberty to the petitioner to prefer an appeal before the Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board, Sector-6, Panchkula.

Disposed of accordingly.

Needless to mention that in the event of the petitioner filing an appropriate appeal, the Appellate Authority shall pass a reasoned and speaking order on the same after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

**APRIL 08, 2024**  
*rajender*

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No