

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CRWP-3218-2024
Date of decision : 10.04.2024

Rinki Rani and another **Petitioners**

versus

State of Punjab and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.
2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. Jaswinder Singh Arora, DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
4. As per petitioners, they have married on 30.03.2024 against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5, who happen to be the relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. Rinki Rani.
5. Both the petitioners claim themselves to be major stating that petitioner No.1-Rinki Rani is 12.06.1999 born and petitioner No.2-Baldev Balla is 26.10.1995 born as per their Aadhar Cards.
6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider the

2024:PHHC:049269

representation dated 01.04.2024 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioners.

7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 and 5 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.

8. The petition stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

10.04.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No