

[201-2] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17078-2023

Date of Decision : 23.01.2024

Amrinder Singh ...Petitioner
versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. R.K. Samyal, Advocate for the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 11.04.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present :- Ms. G.K. Maan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Petitioner has approached this Court praying for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0037 dated 12.02.2023, under Sections 420, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Line, Bathinda, District Bathinda.

*It has been submitted by learned Senior counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. She has vehemently argued that the allegations against the petitioner is that at the behest of the petitioner, the complainant entered into an agreement to sell with the co-accused. She submits that neither the petitioner entered into any agreement nor he has taken any amount as alleged in the FIR. She has submitted that from the bare reading of the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner has been roped in for the offence under Section 120-B of IPC. She has submitted that there is no evidence regarding the complicity of the petitioner in the alleged offence. She has relied upon judicial precedent of the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Arnesh Kumar vs State of Bihar and another, 2014(3) SCC (CrL) 449** and submits that no prima facie case is made out for custodial interrogation of the petitioner. However, petitioner is ready to join investigation.*

Notice of motion for 12.07.2023.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

- (i) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.*
- (ii) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.*
- (iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the court. ”*

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Buta Singh, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 11.04.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 11.04.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

23.01.2024

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No