



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9060 of 2022
Date of Decision: 20.08.2024

Harbans Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Director Rural Development & Panchayat, Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, AAG, Punjab.

* * * *

G.S. SANDHAWALIA J. (Oral)

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

2. On the last date of hearing, counsel for the petitioner had prayed for withdrawing his name as counsel for the petitioner, as he had no instructions from the petitioner.

3. While issuing notice of motion on 02.05.2022, *status-quo* with regard to possession was directed to be maintained, till the next date of hearing subject to the petitioner depositing arrears of rent, if any, within one week. The order dated 02.05.2022 reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to hand over the vacant possession of the shops to respondent No.4-Gram Panchayat within a period of six months from today.



Let notice be issued on this limited question for 02.08.2022.

Status quo with regard to possession shall be maintained till the next date of hearing subject to the petitioner depositing arrears of rent, if any, within one week.”

4. As per the notice, now, sent to the petitioner has been received back with the report that she was not met at the time of service.
5. Keeping in view the above, we are of the considered opinion that notice of motion was issued only for the purpose of protection for possession for the period of six months subject to the condition that the arrears of rent had to be deposited within one week which has expired. Nothing has been placed on record to show that the necessary compliance was made or not. Resultantly, the present writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

August 20, 2024
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No