

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-1829-1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-02.04.2024

BALJINDER KAUR

... Appellant

Versus

SUKHWINDER SINGH

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present:- Mr. Aneesh Setia, Advocate for the appellant.

RITU TAGORE, J. (Oral)

1.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that despite efforts made, he could not contact the appellant. Learned counsel also submits that except for the address given in the appeal, he does not have any other latest address of the appellant. As per the report of the Registry, respondent served through his father, but there is no representation on his behalf. Even notice given to his counsel Sh.V.S. Sehjpal, could not be served.
2.

This Court does not have the latest address of the appellant. Given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Consequently, this appeal stands dismissed in default, with liberty to the appellant to revive the same if has any grievance from this order.
3.

Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

02.04.2024
Gaurav Sorot

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No