

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7796 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:08.04.2024**

Sushil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pardeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

The present petition has been filed seeking directions to respondent No.2 to allow the petitioner to join the post of Unani Dispenser in the General category or ESM category on the basis of his qualification and as per qualified 2nd phase exam of CET Group-C.

The grievance raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner has applied for the post of Unani Dispenser in the EWS category and has already qualified the second phase of the examination of CET Group -C and out of the total post(s) advertised against the post of Unani dispenser , only two posts have been filled and two posts are still lying vacant but the petitioner has not been granted selection/ appointment against the said vacant post.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that nothing has come on record as to why, despite being eligible, the claim of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Unani Dispenser, which are vacant even as of now, has not been considered. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised before the respondents vide representation dated 09.02.2024 (Annexure P-5) and the petitioner will be satisfied in case, the respondents are directed to decide the said representation in a time bound manner by passing appropriate speaking order

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submits that in case the representation (Annexure P-5) has been received and the same is still pending consideration, appropriate order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief as claimed in the representation, the same will be accepted, otherwise, due reasons will be given for not accepting the claim while passing the speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel the present petition may be disposed of, as not being pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

8th April, 2024

Sonia Puri

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/ No.