

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR-1242-2007 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.03.2024

Rajinder Sethi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Tangri, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

In compliance to the previous order dated 04.01.2024, notices were issued to the petitioner but the same have been received back unserved stating that he has left the address given in the memo of parties long back.

In view of the above, since there is no representation continuously on behalf of the petitioner, who at least has not bothered to engage a counsel due to unfortunate demise of Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate, who was appearing before this Court. In such situation, this Court has sufficient reasons to believe that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the instant petition and therefore, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

[SANDEEP MOUDGIL]
JUDGE

13.03.2024
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No